# Comparative proteomic analysis of sequential isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from a patient with pulmonary tuberculosis turning from drug sensitive to multidrug resistant

Amit Singh<sup>1,¶</sup>, Krishnamoorthy Gopinath<sup>1,¶,†</sup>, Prashant Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Deepa Bisht<sup>2</sup>, Pawan Sharma<sup>3,††</sup>, Niti Singh<sup>4</sup> & Sarman Singh<sup>1#</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Clinical Microbiology & Molecular Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, <sup>2</sup>National JALMA Institute for Leprosy & other Mycobacterial Diseases (ICMR), Agra, <sup>3</sup>Immunology Group, International Centre for Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology, New Delhi & <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, National Institute of Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases, New Delhi, India

Received September 10, 2013

*Background & objectives*: Tuberculosis is a major health problem in India, and the emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) has further complicated the situation. Though several studies characterizing drug sensitive and drug resistant strains are available in literature, almost all studies are done on unrelated strains. Therefore, the objective of this study was to compare the proteomic data of four sequential isolates of *Mtb* from a single patient who developed MDR-TB during the course of anti-tuberculosis therapy (ATT).

*Methods*: In this study, using two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, we compared and analyzed the cell lysate proteins of *Mtb* sequential clinical isolates from a patient undergoing anti-TB treatment. The mRNA expression levels of selected identified proteins were determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

*Results*: The genotypes of all four isolates remained homologous, indicating no re-infection. The initial isolate (before treatment) was sensitive to all first-line drugs, but the consecutive isolates were found to be resistant to isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RIF) and developed mutations in the *katG*, *inhA* and *rpoB*. The intensities of 27 protein spots were found to be consistently overexpressed in INH and RIF resistant isolates. The most prominent and overexpressed proteins found during the development of drug resistance were GarA (Rv1827), wag31 (Rv2145c), Rv1437 and Rv2970c.

*Interpretation & conclusions*: This preliminary proteomic study provides an insight about the proteins that are upregulated during drug resistance development. These upregulated proteins, identified here, could prove useful as immunodiagnostic and possibly drug resistant markers in future. However, more studies are required to confirm these findings.

Key words 2D gel electrophoresis - tuberculosis - MDR-TB - MALDI-TOF - proteomics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Authors contributed equally

Present address: <sup>†</sup>Institute of Infectious Diseases & Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa <sup>††</sup>North-East Region-BPMC, Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India, New Delhi

Tuberculosis (TB) is a global emergency with an estimated nine million new cases and more than 1.5 million deaths occurring annually<sup>1</sup>. The situation has worsened after AIDS epidemic and with the emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) forms of the causative agent Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). These drug resistant strains are more infectious by virtue of their high transmissibility in the population. Therefore, identification of the reliable diagnostic, prognostic and drug resistance markers is an urgent research priority. Various in vitro and in vivo studies have identified chromosomal mutations as determinants of drug resistance<sup>2-4</sup>. For example, mutation (s) in *rpoB* allele confers rifampicin (RIF) resistance (RIF<sup>r</sup>) in 90-95 per cent isolates<sup>2</sup>, while isoniazid (INH)-resistance (INH<sup>r</sup>) is attributed to mutation (s) in one or more alleles viz., katG, inhA, ahpC and ndh. However, in about 20 per cent of INH<sup>r</sup> isolates, none of these known mutations are found, suggesting the possibility of unknown mutations or mechanisms<sup>2,3</sup>. On the other hand, the genetic mutations may not necessarily correlate with phenotypic resistance; further suggesting that other factors such as drug impermeability, drug-efflux pumps, formation of survivable "persister cells" under drug pressure and several other host factors could be involved in the outcome of treatment<sup>2</sup>.

Hence, for unraveling the mechanism(s) of drug resistance, understanding the mode of action of anti-TB drugs is very crucial. Many studies have elucidated the mode of action of various anti-TB drugs using genetic analysis, mRNA expression and DNA microarray analysis<sup>4,5</sup>. Several groups have also explored the proteome of *Mtb* and provided comprehensive details about the subcellular localization and confirmed the genomic annotation<sup>6-9</sup>. In these studies twodimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis followed by mass spectrometry (MS) identification of the differentially regulated proteins substantially helped in identifying the complex pathways and their regulatory enzymes. These studies also elucidated modes of action of various drugs and discovered new antigens that could be potential candidates for developing vaccines and diagnostics<sup>6,7,9,10</sup>. However, only a few studies are available which show differential expression of specific proteins in the drug resistant but not in drug susceptible cells7-9,11. Further, in all these studies, either the non-pathogenic mycobacteria or laboratory collections of drug sensitive and drug resistant strains of Mtb from different patients have been used. In the present study, protein profile of sequentially collected

four clinical isolates of *Mtb* was analyzed using 2D gel electrophoresis and the differentially expressed proteins were identified by MALDI-TOF-MS analysis. All isolates were from the same patient, who developed MDR-TB during the course of chemotherapy.

## **Material & Methods**

The study was conducted between January 2006 and June 2010 at the TB Laboratory, Division of Clinical Microbiology and Molecular Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of AIIMS and written informed consent was obtained from the patient. The patient was being treated at the designated microscopy and DOTS (directly observed treatment-short course) centres of Shahpurjat, New Delhi. This patient (22 yr old male) was diagnosed as having pulmonary TB on the basis of clinical and radiological findings and sputum smear microscopy. He was prescribed with anti-TB treatment (ATT) under the DOTS programme. The thrice a week treatment regimen comprised isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide (PZA) and ethambutol (EMB) (category I treatment) in intensive phase for two months followed by four month treatment with two (isoniazid and rifampicin) drugs regimen. Pre-treatment sputum specimen was used for isolation of *Mycobacterium* sp. by BACTEC MGIT-960 (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA), which was positive. The isolate was identified as Mtb by conventional phenotypic and in-house PCR method<sup>12</sup>. This culture was labelled as isolate A, and was subjected to 16sRNA gene sequencing. The patient though took full six months course of treatment but became irregular in taking drugs after initial improvement in his clinical symptoms. After three months of cessation of treatment  $(6+3=9 \text{ month}^{13}$ , his condition again deteriorated and his sputum culture was again positive for Mtb. We labelled this second culture as isolate B. He was re-treated with isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol and streptomycin (SM) (category II regimen). Within two months his clinical condition improved but he again defaulted. After an asymptomatic period of about four months his symptoms reappeared. His sputum was again culture positive and this culture was labelled as isolate C. The patient was again prescribed with the same treatment for 12 months after counselling but he stopped treatment after six months. His condition further deteriorated and he died of multisystem failure. The fourth sample was received just before his death

and the isolate from this sputum sample was labelled as isolate D.

All the four clinical isolates (A, B, C & D) were identified as *Mtb* using standard protocols<sup>12,13</sup>. The anti-mycobacterial drug susceptibility testing was performed on all the isolates by both BACTEC<sup>TM</sup> MGIT-960 (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) and proportional method using Middlebrook 7H10 (Difco, USA) agar plates containing first-line anti-TB drugs (SM 2.0 µg/ml, INH 0.2 µg/ml, RIF 1.0 µg/ml, EMB 6.0 µg/ml)<sup>13,14</sup>. All four isolates were also genotyped by spoligotyping and identified using SITVIT-WEB database<sup>15</sup>. The *rpoB*, *inhA* and *katG* gene targets were sequenced using the primers as described elsewhere<sup>13</sup>.

Preparation of mycobacterial whole cell lysate: All Mtb isolates were grown without shaking in Middlebrook 7H9 medium supplemented with 0.2 per cent (v/v)glycerol, 10 per cent oleic acid, albumin-dextrose and catalase (OADC, Difco, USA) at 37°C for two weeks. Whole cell lysate was prepared according to protocol of Sharma et al<sup>11</sup>. Cells were washed three times with normal saline and then suspended in sonication buffer [50 mM tris-HCl containing 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% sodium azide, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and 1mM ethylene glycol tetra acetic acid (EGTA); pH 7.4] at a concentration of 1g wet cell mass per 5ml, and then broken by intermittent sonication for 15 min at 4°C using sonicator (Sonics & Materials Inc. USA). The homogenate was centrifuged at  $12,000 \times g$ for 20 min at 4°C. The pellets were discarded and supernatant was stored at -70°C until further use.

*Protein precipitation with sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)-trichloroacetic acid (TCA)-acetone*: The cell lysates were treated with 1 per cent SDS and then subjected to TCA-acetone precipitation procedure<sup>9</sup>. The protein pellet was suspended in appropriate volume of two-dimensional rehydration buffer (Bio-Rad, USA), and the protein concentration was estimated using the Bradford method<sup>16</sup>.

*Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis*: Isoelectric focusing (IEF) was done using the in-gel rehydration method (Bio-Rad, USA).

2D gels were analysed using PDQuest Advanced software (version 8.0) (Bio-Rad, USA). After acquisition, the images were analyzed using stepwise spot detection and spot matching followed by differential expression analysis. The quantity of each spot was normalized by total valid spot intensity. The expression differences for all four mycobacterial isolates were compared using the same software. Images for sensitive and resistant isolates were manually checked for artifactual spots, merged spots and missed spots, and spots with more isolate-specific variability were omitted in the downstream processing. Equal amount of protein was loaded in all gels and experiments were repeated three times with three independent biological replicates.

*In-gel digestion of protein spots with trypsin*: Protein spots of interest were excised from the coomassie brilliant blue R250 stained 2D gels using spot picker Investigator ProPic (Genomic Solutions Ltd., Huntingdon, UK). Digestion of proteins and spotting of peptides on matrix assisted LASER desorption/ ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) target plate was carried out using protein digester investigator ProPrep (Genomic Solutions, Huntingdon, UK).

For protein digestion, method of Shevchenko et  $al^{17}$  was followed with slight modifications. In brief, the gel plugs were de-stained and dehydrated by washing three times (10 min) with 25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>-50 per cent acetonitrile (ACN) (1:1v/v) solution and treated with freshly prepared 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) in 50 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> for 45 min at 56°C. After incubation, DTT was replaced with freshly prepared 55 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min and then dehydrated with 100 per cent ACN. The dried gel pieces were incubated for 12 h at 37 °C with 25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> containing 0.02 µg/µl of mass spectrometry grade trypsin (Promega, USA). The resulting peptides were extracted twice from the gel pieces, using peptide extraction buffer [1:1v/v mixture of 70% ACN and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)].

*Mass spectrometric analysis*: Mass spectrometry (MS) was carried out as described earlier<sup>9</sup>. The digested samples were desalted and concentrated on C-18 ZipTips (Millipore, USA) using the manufacturer's protocol before mass spectrometric analysis. ZipTips were eluted on MTP 384 target plate with 2  $\mu$ l of  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) saturated solution dissolved in 50 per cent ACN and 0.2 per cent TFA. Mass spectra of digested proteins were acquired using Autoflex II TOF/TOF 50 (Bruker GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) in positive reflectron mode. AnchorChip target plate was placed in sample inlet of the instrument, controlled by flexControl 2.4 software (Bruker, Germany). The instrument was equipped with a 337 nm nitrogen LASER, delayed extraction

electronics, and a 50Hz digitizer and percentage of LASER energy was maintained at 30-40 per cent. The pulse energy was 105 µJ and pulse duration was 1.3 nano sec. Final mass spectra were produced by averaging 1500-2500 LASER shots taken at different positions within each spot. The spectra were acquired in positive reflection mode in the mass range of 500-3000 m/z. Calibration was performed using peptide calibration standard II (Bruker, Germany). The proteolytic masses obtained, were processed through FlexAnalysis v.2.4 programme (Bruker, Germany) for peak detection. Initially, the spectra acquired were processed for baseline subtraction with 80 per cent baseline flatness followed by smoothening with threshold of signalto-noise ratio (S/N) > 5. The contaminant m/z peaks originating from human keratin, trypsin auto-digestion and matrix were removed from the spectra to generate the peptide mass list for the database search. Finally, the proteolytic masses obtained were evaluated using MASCOT, a peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) tool (Matrix Sciences, UK). Peak detection in MALDI spectra and peak lists were submitted to the UniProtKB/ Swiss-Prot database using the MASCOT search engine (*http://www.matrixscience.com*) to identify the proteins from the annotated Mtb chromosome (strain H37Rv, EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ entry AL123456). Peptide mass tolerance was set in range of 50-100 ppm, with carbamidomethyl-cystein set as fixed modification, oxidation of methionine as variable modification and only 0 or 1 missed cleavage site was allowed. Further, matched precursor ions of identified proteins were selected for subsequent fragmentation using post source decay (PSD) for MS/MS. Lift ATT method was performed in flex control software; parent peak mass spectrum was acquired by hitting LASER for 400-550 shots followed by acquisition of fragments of selected precursor ions for the same number of shots. Both parent and fragment spectra were pooled to generate MS/MS spectrum of a particular peptide. MS/MS spectrum was submitted to database using MASCOT wizard (Matrix Sciences, UK). The same parameters were used for MS/ MS search in addition to the fragment mass tolerance from 0.5 to 2.0 Da.

*Glycogen estimation*: Logarithmic and stationary phase growth of *Mtb* sensitive (isolate A) and MDR (isolates B,C,D) isolates were collected by centrifugation (3 min at 5000 x g and at 4°C), and the pellet (15-20 mg wet weight) was re-suspended in 0.25M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and incubated at 95°C for 4 h. The glycogen content was estimated by following the procedure of Schulze *et al*<sup>18</sup>.

Isolation of Mtb total RNA and real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR): Mtb H37Rv was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth containing 10 per cent OADC, and was treated with INH ( $0.1\mu g/ml$ ), RIF ( $1.0\mu g/ml$ ), EMB  $(5\mu g/ml)$  and INH  $(0.1\mu g/ml) + RIF (1.0\mu g/ml)$ . Total RNA was isolated using a TRI reagent (Sigma, USA) following manufacturer's instructions. To analyze mRNA expression, cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA by using Superscript III (Invitrogen Life Technologies, USA) and random primers (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, USA), followed by amplification of the gene(s) by gene-specific primers, using master mix SYBR green (Applied Biological Materials Inc., Canada). The expression is represented in fold increase. 16sRNA was used as internal control for mRNA expression analysis of ahpC, Rv1827, pknA, pknB, pknG and wag31.

Each reaction was repeated thrice with three independent RNA samples in a smart cycler Cepheid machine (Cepheid, USA). RT-PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step of 10 min, followed by 40 amplification cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 30 sec at 60°C and 30 sec at 72°C. Melting curve analysis was carried out to confirm the specificity of the amplified product. After baseline corrections and determination of threshold settings, calculation and statistical analyses were carried out using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C}_{T}$  Method<sup>19</sup>. The results are shown as fold increase in expression profile.

#### Results

Drug resistance pattern and mutations in katG, inhA and rpoB genes of the isolates: The four sequential culture isolates were identified as Mtb by conventional phenotypic and in-house PCR method. Genotyping was done by spoligotyping and the results confirmed that all the isolates belonged to the Central Asian Strain Delhi (CAS1 Delhi, ST26) genotype. The drug susceptibility test results showed that the initial isolate (isolate A) was sensitive to all the four first-line drugs (SM, INH, RIF and EMB), but the consecutive isolates (isolates B, C and D) became resistant to three drugs; INH, RIF and EMB. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of isolate B increased as compared to isolate A against INH, RIF and EMB. However, it was still sensitive to kanamycin. The isolates C and D became resistant not only to INH, RIF and EMB but also to kanamycin. The sequencing of the rpoB (RIF<sup>r</sup>), katG and inhA (INH<sup>r</sup>) regions revealed mutated alleles associated with resistance to the respective drugs<sup>13</sup>. Morphologically the resistant isolates were stunted, thicker and coccobacillary in shape.

Differentially expressed proteins in drug sensitive and resistant isolates: The cell lysate proteins of four *Mtb* isolates were analyzed by 2D gel electrophoresis, which showed 430 protein spots in isolates A and 495, 556 and 395 spots in isolates B, C and D, respectively (Figs 1 & 2). Quantitative analysis of 2D gel spots was carried out using PDQuest software which revealed 27 spots upregulated in MDR isolates (Table I). The spots showing more than 2-fold upregulation were further identified by MALDI-TOF/TOF MS (Table II). To rule out possibility of any artifact, proteins showing equal intensity were taken as internal control (represented as square in Fig. 1). Upregulated proteins were functionally classified according to TubercuList web server which showed that most of the identified proteins belonged to the functional group 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 9; corresponding to virulence, detoxification and adaptation (18.5%), lipid metabolism (11.11%), information pathway (14.81%), cell wall and cell process (3.7%), insertion sequence and phages (18.51%), and intermediary metabolism and information (29.62%); respectively (Table III). The magnified regions of upregulated proteins are shown in Fig. 3. Of the 27 upregulated proteins, eight were hypothetical protein (Rv2004c), probable glutamyl-tRNA (GLN) amidotransferase A gatA (Rv3011c), possible phosphoserine aminotransferase SerC (Rv0884c), probable lipase/esterase LipN (Rv2970c), probable phosphoglycerate kinase Pgk (Rv1437), conserved hypothetical protein with FHA domain, GarA (Rv1827), bacterioferritin (Rv1876) and conserved hypothetical protein (Rv0543) and were not found in 2D-PAGE database system accessible at http:// www.mpiib-berlin.mpg.de/2D-PAGE, whereas three proteins probable iron-regulated aconitate hydratase Acn (Rv1475c), probable chaperone protein DnaK (Rv0350) and 60 kDa chaperonin 2 groEL2 (Rv0440), were found in two spots. Six proteins (gatA, serC, fbd, garA, Rv2204c and Rv0543c) in isolate B, 10 proteins (Rv685c, Rv3457c, Rv1479, Rv2970c, Rv1437, qor, and two spots each of Rv1475c and groEL2 family) in isolate C, three proteins (fadB, fabG4 and rrf) in isolate D. three proteins (Rv3075c, Rv1436 and GroES) in isolates C as well as in D were found upregulated. Only five proteins were consistently upregulated in all the three resistant isolates and these were identified as, chaperonin protein dnaK HSP70 (spots 4 and 5), hypothetical protein (Rv2004, spot 8), antigen 84 (wag31, spot 19) and bfrA (spot 24) (Fig. 1).

Among the identified proteins, we were more interested in studying the possible role of Rv1827 (GarA)

and wag31 in drug resistance, since these proteins have been identified as physiological substrates for protein kinases G (pknG). Our result revealed that GarA and wag31 were upregulated in the drug resistant isolates. We analyzed the mRNA expression of *Rv1827* and its cognate protein kinases, *pknG*, *pknB*, *pknA* and *wag31*.

Drug induced changes in mRNA expression of protein kinases: To verify our protein expression observations, we studied the mRNA expression profile to see the effect of the four drugs on the standard strain of Mtb (H37Rv) which was sensitive to all anti-TB drugs. For this, the mRNA from H37Rv strain was isolated before and after exposing it to INH (0.1µg/ml), EMB  $(5.0 \,\mu g/ml)$ , RIF  $(1.0 \,\mu g/ml)$  and INH+RIF  $(0.1 + 1.0 \,\mu g/ml)$ ml) for 6 h. Consistent with the proteomic data, seen in clinical isolates, Rv1827 expression was upregulated in all the tested conditions. As expected, the upregulation was 6.82 fold when the *Mtb* standard strain (H37Rv) was exposed to INH and RIF together, but other tested genes had relatively diminished expression. While combining the EMB, the expression of wag31 was higher and *pknA* and *pknG* expressions were highest (Fig. 4).

*Glycogen storage*: GarA, which is a glycogen regulatory protein, was found upregulated in our MDR isolates. It was found that as compared to sensitive isolates the glycogen accumulation in MDR isolates was higher. Consistent with GarA protein levels, the glycogen accumulation measured after seven days was 1.8, 2.0 and 2.1 folds higher in isolates B, C and D, respectively, as compared to sensitive isolate A. Interestingly, after 15 days the glycogen storage remained almost unchanged (Fig. 5).

## Discussion

Emergence of drug resistance in *Mtb* has become a major concern for TB control programme managers and treating physicians. Though advances in genome sequencing methods have provided better opportunities to our understanding about functional genomics and proteomics of the *Mtb*, the knowledge about mechanism of drug resistance still remains limited only to the association of genetic polymorphism. Most often, the data from proteomic studies are used to understand host-pathogen interaction, virulence, drug resistance and drug tolerance<sup>8,9,19-23</sup>. Such studies have provided a comprehensive list of *Mtb* proteins that are found differentially regulated in laboratory maintained standard H37Rv strain exposed to drug pressure.



**Fig. 1.** 2D gel profiles of whole cell lysate proteins of *Mtb* clinical isolates collected sequentially from a single patient. The upregulated proteins are highlighted by circles. **(A)** First *Mtb* isolate (before treatment) sensitive to all 4 drugs, **(B)** Second isolate (during treatment) acquires MDR, **(C)** Third isolate (after 15 months of treatment) acquires drug resistance to yet another drug kanamycin and **(D)** Fourth MDR isolate after 27 months. Two Proteins, A (Rv1080c) and B (Rv2140c) marked in green rectangles, were selected for observing expression variation in all four samples and showed similar level of expression in all gels.



Fig. 2. Upregulated proteins, their distributions, amount of overlap and functional classification in *Mtb* clinical isolates: (A) Upregulated proteins distribution and amount of overlap in clinical drug resistant isolates. (B) Functional classification of differentially expressed proteins according to the TubercuList Server.

However, in such studies protein (s) that could be modulated *in vivo* during or after acquiring *in vivo* drug resistance are missed out<sup>22</sup>. The ever-increasing evidences suggest that the expression of genes<sup>22</sup> and/or proteins<sup>23</sup> in clinical isolates is markedly different from the laboratory maintained H37Rv strain; suggesting that majority of these observations may not have direct impact in real life scenario.

In the present study, several proteins were identified that were upregulated in drug resistant isolates. Of the 27 upregulated proteins, five were upregulated in all sequential resistant isolates (B, C and D). Approximately half of these overexpressed proteins are reported as essential for *in vitro* growth of  $Mtb^{21-24}$ . Although their functional role in drug resistance is elusive, validation of these proteins as a biomarker of drug resistance will provide a scope for finding an effective candidate drug for MDR-TB. It also needs to be emphasized that identifying a protein as "upregulated" does not necessarily imply that it is a true determinant of drug resistance, because it is possible that some or all of these proteins are associated with adaptability of the *Mtb* to survive longer in the host system.

Among the upregulated proteins, rpoA (Rv3457c) was found 2.9 folds upregulated in the drug resistant isolates. In a similar study compensatory mutations in *rpoA* and *rpoC* (Rv0668) were identified particularly in more than 30 per cent of RIF-resistant strains and the authors proposed that mutation in these alleles could also be associated with MDR<sup>24</sup>. Though we have

1		Table I.	Details of upre	gulated pr	oteins identi	fied by mass	spectromet	ry in drug re	sistant isol	ates		-
Spo	ot Proteins identified	Open reading frame	Accession No.	Mascot score	Nominal mass (Da)	Isoelectric point (pI)	No. of peptides matched	Sequence coverage (%)	Densitorr upregulati vs. r	ietric ratio c ion between esistant isol	of protein sensitive ates	Protein classification according to
		(ORF) No.							В	C	D	Pasteur Institute of Genomics (TubercuList)
-	Aconitase hydratase*	Rv1475c	NP_215991	132	102728	4.95	18	21	1:1.0	1:4.36	1:1.71	7
0	Aconitase hydratase*	Rv1475c	NP_215991	126	102728	4.95	17	21	1:1.22	1:4.70	1:1.58	7
б	Probable fadB protein	Rv0860	NP_215375	171	76170	5.42	24	33	1:1.0	1:1.0	1:2.08	1
4	Chaperonin protein dnaK (HSP70)*	Rv0350	NP_214864	66	66790	4.85	11	22	1:6.88	1:7.88	1:4.76	0
S	Chaperonin protein dnaK (HSP70)*	Rv0350	NP_214864	165	66790	4.85	22	38	1:156.2	1:195.6	1:66.4	0
9	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)*	Rv0440	NP_214954	128	56692	4.85	16	29	1:1.22	1:4.70	1:1.58	0
Г	60 kDa Chaperonin 2 (groEL2)*	Rv0440	NP_214954	231	56659	4.85	19	49	1:1.09	1:4.24	1:1.27	0
8	Hypothetical protein	Rv2004c	NP_216520	53	54959	5.89	10	29	1:54.21	1:132.28	1:49.82	5
6	Glutamyl tRNA (Gln) amidotransferase	Rv3011c	NP_217527	98	51787	4.91	6	27	1:2.26	1:1.13	1:0.93	7
10	Elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu)	Rv0685	NP_215199	248	43556	5.28	24	69	1:1.38	1:3.07	1:0.97	2
11	DNA directed RNA polymerase α-chain (rpoA)	Rv3457c	NP_217974	205	37740	4.64	16	43	1:1.7	1:2.98	1:1.0	7
12	Putative phosphoserine aminotransferase	Rv0884c	NP_215399	06	40266	4.77	٢	28	1:2.21	1:0.70	1:1.75	7
13	Probable fabG4 protein	Rv0242c	NP_214756	207	46916	6.04	17	52	1:1.01	1:1.0	1:2.08	1
14	Probable moxR protein	Rv1479	YP_177816	103	40738	5.96	12	37	1:1.0	1:2.08	1:1.0	6
15	Probable lipase	Rv2970c	NP_217486	82	43685	6.33	9	30	1:1.19	1:2.28	1:1.0	7
16	Hypothetical protein	Rv1437	NP_217230	88	35519.79	5.14	10	41	1:1.91	1:2.82	1:1.28	7
17	Hypothetical protein	Rv3075c	NP_217591	98	33194	4.73	6	28	1:1.73	1:8.12	1:2.65	5
18	Glyceraldehyde- 3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Rv1436	NP_215952	71	36105	5.19	9	17	1:1.0	1:20.61	1:7.0	7
19	Antigen 84 (wag31)*	Rv2145c	NP_216661	120	28260	4.8	8	43	1:12.0	1:7.0	1:3.0	3
												Contd

34

# INDIAN J MED RES, JANUARY 2015

Spot No. †	Proteins identified	Open reading frame	Accession No.	Mascot score	Nominal mass (Da)	Isoelectric point (pI)	No. of peptides matched	Sequence coverage (%)	Densitom upregulati vs. r	letric ratio c on between esistant isol	of protein sensitive ates	Protein classification according to
		(ORF) No.							В	C	D	Pasteur Institute of Genomics (TubercuList)
20	Probable quinone oxidoreductase (qor)	Rv1454c	NP_215970	80	34140	5.37	٢	42	1:1.15	1:6.42	1:1.89	٢
21	Antigen precursor (MPT51)	Rv3803c	YP_178017	64	31069	6.13	5	23	1:2.19	1:1.12	1:1.02	1
22	Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)	Rv2882c	NP_217398	108	20815	5.71	10	67	1:1.0	1:1.0	1:3.45	7
23	Hypothetical protein (GarA)	Rv1827	NP_216343	87	17240	4.29	5	45	1:7.66	1:1.37	1:1.16	S
24	bfrA (Bacterioferritin)	Rv1876	NP_216392	06	18443	4.5	12	82	1:9.27	1:37.5	1:38.58	7
25	Hypothetical protein	Rv2204c	NP_216720	103	12707	4.4	9	51	1:9.11	1:1.0	1:1.04	5
26	Hypothetical protein	Rv0543c	NP_215057	67	14743	5.2	5	38	1:3.03	1:1.01	1:1.53	5
27	10 kDa Chaperonin (cpn 10, groES protein)*	Rv3418c	NP_217935	162	10667	4.62	10	66	1:1.0	1:10.86	1:9.28	0
Cut-	off limit $\geq 2.0$ fold for over	expression of ]	proteins. <sup>†</sup> Spot	number of	the protein	as marked in	ı Fig. 1. *So	me proteins	are having	mobility di	fference.	

## SINGH et al: PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF MDR-TB ISOLATES

	Table	II. MALDI-TOF/TOF (N	IS/MS) anal	ysis of overex	xpressed	proteins in	MDR-TB clinical isolates
Spot No.†	Peak mass (Da)	Protein identified	Rv No.	Nominal Mass (Da)	pI	Mascot score	Sequence peptide
1	829.4455	Aconitase hydratase	Rv1475c	102728	4.95	39	KSYQIYRL
	1132.6464	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	32	RNGGILQYVLRN
	1170.5177	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	34	RWGQGAFDDFKV
	1299.587	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	37	RIDTPGEADYYRN
2	829.4455	Aconitase hydratase	Rv1475c	102728	4.95	37	KSYQIYRL
	1132.6464	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	39	RNGGILQYVLRN
	1170.5177	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	35	RWGQGAFDDFKV
	1299.587	Aconitase hydratase		102728	4.95	35	RIDTPGEADYYR.N
3	1530.73	Probable fadB protein	Rv0860	76170	5.42	49	KSGSSQPPLQDMIDRM
	1836.9363	Probable fadB protein		76170	5.42	40	KGVDFVIEAVFENQELKH
	2078.1801	Probable fadB protein		76170	5.42	37	KGSQLGLPEVTLGLLPGGGGVTRT
4	1568.0111	Chaperonin protein dnaK (hsp70)	Rv0350	66790	4.85	50	KLLGSFELTGIPPAPRG
	1743.8923	Chaperonin protein dnaK (hsp70)		66790	4.85	37	RATSGDNHLGGDDWDQRV
	2109.2639	Chaperonin protein dnaK (hsp70)		66790	4.85	40	RNGEVLVGQPAKNQAVTNVDRT
5	1062.611	Chaperone protein dnaK	Rv0350	66790	4.85	32	RTTPSIVAFARN
	1226.711	Chaperone protein dnaK		66790	4.85	33	KDAGQIAGLNVLRI
	1645.962	Chaperone protein dnaK		66790	4.85	37	RIVNEPTAAALAYGLDKG
	2613.426	Chaperone protein dnaK		66790	4.85	55	RSETFTTADDNQPSVQIQVYQGERE
6	914.6248	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)	Rv0440	56692	4.85	55	KGRNVVLEKK
	1223.7164	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)		56692	4.85	33	KTIAYDEEARRG
	1266.754	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)		56692	4.85	35	MAKTIAYDEEARR
	1580.0454	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)		56692	4.85	42	REGLRNVAAGANPLGLKR
7	1067.5167	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)	Rv0440	56692	4.85	34	KTIAYDEEARR
	1264.5909	60kDa Chaperonin 2		56692	4.85	36	KEIELEDPYEKI
	1529.7887	60kDa Chaperonin 2		56692	4.85	46	KWGAPTITNDGVSIAKE
	2075.0432	60kDa Chaperonin 2		56692	4.85	66	KTDDVAGDGTTTATVLAQALVRE
8	804.3472	Hypothetical protein	Rv2004c	54959	5.89	35	RERACIRE
	1434.7007	Hypothetical protein		54959	5.89	42	RIEHMVDEFVSGRE
	1796.9013	Hypothetical protein		54959	5.89	45	RIDDAAFLAMDLEFLGRK

Contd...

Spot No.†	Peak mass (Da)	Protein identified	Rv No.	Nominal Mass (Da)	pI	Mascot score	Sequence peptide
9	1094.6241	Glutamyl tRNA (Gln amidotransferase subunit A (gatA)	Rv3011c	51787	4.91	43	RSPYDATLTARL
	1881.9284	gatA		51787	4.91	35	RYGLVACASSLDQGGPCART
	2017.1693	gatA		51787	4.91	36	RQPAALTATVGVKPTYGTVSRY
10	1413.8456	Ef-tu	Rv0685	43556	5.28	32	RQVGVPYILVALNKA
	1693.8359	Ef-tu		43556	5.28	40	RHYAHVDAPGHADYIKN
	2091.0286	Ef-tu		43556	5.28	42	KADAVDDEELLELVEMEVRE
11	1085.5899	DNA directed RNA polymerase α-chain (rpoA)	Rv3457c	37740	4.64	35	KLEVELVVERG
	1485.8157	DNA directed RNA polymerase α-chain (rpoA)		37740	4.64	27	RTLLSSIPGAAVTSIRI
	1611.8113	DNA directed RNA polymerase α-chain (rpoA)		37740	4.64	25	RIDGVLHEFTTVPGVKE
12	1352.6949	Putative phosphoserine aminotransferase		40266	4.77	34	RSLHLTYGEFSAKF
	1900.0249	Putative phosphoserine aminotransferase		40266	4.77	33	MADQLTPHLEIPTAIKPRD
	1928.0345	Putative phosphoserine aminotransferase		40266	4.77	38	RWVPDFLSLPIAVENSLKN
13	1237.69	Probable fabG4 protein	Rv0242c	46916	6.04	44	RQLGVPQPETLRR
	1393.7932	Probable fabG4 protein		46916	6.04	35	RQLGVPQPETLRRY
	1565.862	Probable fabG4 protein		46916	6.04	39	RAGEPPLTGSLLIGGAGRV
14	1425.9539	Probable moxR	Rv1479	40738	5.96	41	KRIIVGQDQLVERM
	1786.142	Probable moxR		40738	5.96	32	RIQFTPDLVPTDIIGTRI
	1983.2737	Probable moxR		40738	5.96	33	RDYVIPQDVIEVIPDVLRH
15	1550.7799	Probable lipase protein	Rv2970c	34146	4.83	34	RVVDLAIDGPAGPIGTRI
	1699.7705	Probable lipase protein		34146	4.83	37	RQHAVGADAIVVSVDYRL
	1740.8621	Probable lipase protein		34146	4.83	22	RIAVAGDSAGGTIAAVIAQRA
16	1315.7195	Phosphoglycerate kinase	Rv1437	42600	4.83	43	RGLLETYHDVLRL
	1420.7409	Phosphoglycerate kinase		42600	4.83	40	KGAFSVVGGGDSAAAVRA
	1683.9461	Phosphoglycerate kinase		42600	4.83	38	RAEGLTGGDILLLENIRF
17	1016.503	Hypothetical protein Rv3075c	Rv3075c	33194	4.73	25	KEFFAEFARD
	1322.619	Hypothetical protein Rv3075c		33194	4.73	60	RWFGDGNADWVRI
	1583.78	Hypothetical protein Rv3075c		33194	4.73	59	RDTGFGEDPATLAYARS
							Contd

Peak mass (Da)	Protein identified	Rv No.	Nominal Mass (Da)	pI	Mascot score	Sequence peptide
1648.036	Hypothetical protein Rv3075c		33194	4.73	43	KRLPNVPIVALVETARG
1085.7325	Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase	Rv1436	36105	5.19	42	KAIGLVMPQLKG
1134.7153	G-3-P dehydrogenase		36105	5.19	37	KVLDDEFGIVKG
1384.8723	G-3-P dehydrogenase		36105	5.19	34	RAAALNIVPTSTGAAKA
1085.7325	Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase		36105	5.19	42	KAIGLVMPQLKG
1088.5844	ag84/wag31	Rv2145c	28260	4.8	22	RLIEENSDLRQ
1171.6518	ag84/wag31		28260	4.8	31	RANAEQILGEARH
1413.7358	ag84/wag31		28260	4.8	36	KHSEIMGTINQQRA
1011.5087	qor	Rv1454c	34140	5.37	43	RTGEEFSWRA
1615.8965	qor		34140	5.37	40	KAEAIGVNFIDTYFRS
2195.0742	qor		34140	5.37	34	KDAGADVVLDYPEDAWQFAGRV
1037.4687	Antigen precursor (MPT51)	Rv3803c	31069	6.13	34	RMFYNQYRS
2044.93	Antigen precursor (MPT51)		31069	6.13	42	KWHDPWVHASLLAQNNTRV
2132.9473	Antigen precursor (MPT51)		31069	6.13	45	KQWDTFLSAELPDWLAANRG
1655.8488	Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)	Rv2882c	20815	5.71	29	RNSDLGVNPTNDGALIRV
1674.88	Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)		20815	5.71	37	KTTHQYVTQIDELVKH
2146.0955	Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)		20815	5.71	38	KDLDKTTHQYVTQIDELVKH
1291.7384	Hypothetical protein (GarA)	Rv1827	17240	4.29	21	RFLLDQAITSAGRH
1715.8809	Hypothetical protein (GarA)		17240	4.29	43	RHPDSDIFLDDVTVSRR
1840.9915	Hypothetical protein (GarA)		17240	4.29	28	REPVDSAVLANGDEVQIGKF
1046.5234	Bfr (Bacterioferritin)	Rv1876	18443	4.5	40	MQGDPDVLRL
1414.7907	Bfr (Bacterioferritin)		18443	4.5	37	RILLLDGLPNYQRI
1935.8135	Bfr (Bacterioferritin)		18443	4.5	29	RAESFDEMRHAEEITDRI
1089.5305	Hypothetical protein Rv2204c	Rv2204c	12707	4.4	22	RYNLFFDDRT
1281.7399	Hypothetical protein Rv2204c		12707	4.4	28	KTHGVILTEAAAAKA
1198.665	Hypothetical protein Rv2204c		12707	4.4	35	RIAVQPGGCAGLRY
965.4423	Hypothetical protein Rv0543c	Rv0543c	14743	5.2	26	RDDAPYWAKY
	Peak mass (Da) 1648.036 1085.7325 1134.7153 1384.8723 1085.7325 1088.5844 1171.6518 1413.7358 1011.5087 1615.8965 2195.0742 1037.4687 2044.93 2132.9473 1655.8488 1674.88 2146.0955 1291.7384 1715.8809 1840.9915 1046.5234 1414.7907 1935.8135 1089.5305 1281.7399 1198.665 965.4423	Peak mass (Da)Protein identified1648.036Hypothetical protein $Rv3075c$ 1085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase1134.7153G-3-P dehydrogenase1384.8723G-3-P dehydrogenase1085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase1088.5844ag84/wag311171.6518ag84/wag311011.5087qor1615.8965qor2195.0742qor1037.4687Antigen precursor (MPT51)2044.93Antigen precursor (MPT51)2044.93Antigen precursor (MPT51)1655.8488Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)1674.88Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)1291.7384Hypothetical protein (GarA)1715.8809Hypothetical protein (GarA)1046.5234Bfr (Bacterioferritin)1935.8135Bfr (Bacterioferritin)1089.5305Hypothetical protein $Rv2204c$ 1281.7399Hypothetical protein $Rv2204c$ 198.665Hypothetical protein $Rv2204c$	Peak mass (Da)Protein identifiedRv No.1648.036Hypothetical protein Rv3075cRv14361085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenaseRv14361134.7153G-3-P dehydrogenase1384.87231384.8723G-3-P dehydrogenase1085.73251085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenaseRv2145c1071.6518ag84/wag31Rv2145c1171.6518ag84/wag31Rv1454c1615.8965qorRv1454c1615.8965qorRv3803c (MPT51)2044.93Antigen precursor (MPT51)Rv3803c (MPT51)2132.9473Antigen precursor (MPT51)Rv2882c1674.88Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)Rv1827 (GarA)1715.8809Hypothetical protein (GarA)Rv18271046.5234Bfr (Bacterioferritin)Rv18761414.7907Bfr (Bacterioferritin)Rv18761414.7907Bfr (Bacterioferritin)Rv2204c1281.7399Hypothetical protein Rv2204cRv2204c1281.7399Hypothetical protein Rv2204cRv0543c	Peak mass (Da)Protein identified Mass (Da)Rv No. Mass (Da)1648.036 (Particle Protein Rv3075c33194 Rv3075c1085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenaseRv14361134.7153G-3-P dehydrogenase361051384.8723G-3-P dehydrogenase361051085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase361051085.7325Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase361051088.5844ag84/wag31Rv2145c282601171.6518ag84/wag31282601011.5087qorRv1454c341401615.8965qor341401037.4687Antigen precursor (MPT51)310692044.93Antigen precursor (MPT51)310691674.88Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)Rv2882c208151674.88Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)208151291.7384Hypothetical protein (GarA)172401715.8809Hypothetical protein (GarA)172401046.5234Bfr (Bacterioferritin)Rv1876184431414.7907Bfr (Bacterioferritin)184431935.8135Bfr (Bacterioferritin)184431089.5305Hypothetical protein Rv2204c127071281.7399Hypothetical protein Rv2204c127071284.423Hypothetical protein Rv2204c12707	Peak mass (Da) Protein identified (Rv No. Rv No. Nominal Mass (Da) pl Mass (Da)   1648.036 Hypothetical protein Rv3075c 33194 4.73   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase Rv1436 36105 5.19   1384.8723 G-3-P dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.7325 Glyceraldenyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.7325 Glyceraldenyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19   1085.844 ag84/wag31 Rv2145c 28260 4.8   1011.5087 qor Rv1454c 34140 5.37   1037.4687 Antigen precursor (MPT51) Rv3803c 31069 6.13   2132.9473 Antigen precursor (MPT51) 31069 6.13   1674.88 Riboso	Peak mass (Da) Protein identified Rv No. Nominal Mass (Da) pl Mascot score   1648.036 Hypothetical protein Rv3075c 33194 4.73 43   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19 37   1384.8723 G-3-P dehydrogenase 36105 5.19 34   1085.7325 Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate (G-3-P) dehydrogenase 36105 5.19 34   1088.5844 ag84/wag31 Rv2145c 28260 4.8 31   1015.087 qor Rv1436 34140 5.37 43   1015.087 qor Rv1454c 34140 5.37 40   2195.0742 qor 31069 6.13 34   1037.4687 Antigen precursor (MPT51) 31069 6.13 42   2132.9473 Antigen precursor (MPT51) 31069 6.13 45   2146.0955 Ribosome recycling factor (RF) Rv1827 17240 4.29 21   1213.2.9473 Hypothetical protein (GarA) Rv1827 17240<

Contd...

Spot No.†	Peak mass (Da)	Protein identified	Rv No.	Nominal Mass (Da)	pI	Mascot score	Sequence peptide
	1218.6172	Hypothetical protein Rv0543c		14743	5.2	25	MSVELTQEVSARL
	1595.7916	Hypothetical protein Rv0543c		14743	5.2	19	RLTSDLYGWLTTVARS
27	1034.4976	10kDa Chaperonin groES	Rv3418c	10798	4.62	40	RWDEDGEKRI
	1523.8874	10kDa Chaperonin groES		10798	4.62	21	KEKPQEGTVVAVGPGRW
	1776.0298	10kDa Chaperonin groES		10798	4.62	29	KRIPLDVAEGDTVIYSKY
†Spot 1	number of the p	proteins marked as in Fig.	1.				

not screened our resistant isolate for these mutations, this study supports our proteomic approach and identification of an upregulated rpoA protein in drug resistant isolates. Dussurget *et al*<sup>25</sup> reported that in *M. smegmatis*, IdeR negatively controls iron-uptake and expression of BfrA and BfrB. In our study, BfrA was upregulated in drug resistant isolates, suggesting a role of this protein in inducing resistance to INH. However, such conclusions could not be validated by deletion mutant of *bfrA* and *bfrB* in *Mtb*<sup>22</sup>.

We found that the identified proteins spots (Rv1475c, dnaK, groEL2, groES and wag31) had different electrophoretic mobilities in resistant and sensitive isolates. Similar observations have been reported by Mattow *et al*<sup>21</sup> when the protein profile of intra-phagosomal Mtb H37Rv was analyzed. It has also been suggested that this shift is determined by the protein modifications rendered during sample preparation and growth conditions adopted by various laboratories8. However, this may be the unlikely factor in our study, as we strictly followed the same protocol throughout the study. Further, our analysis was stringent and we considered a particular protein to be "upregulated" only if the observation was consistent in three independent experiments. Even then, we were cautious not to conclude whether the changes in protein mobility necessarily reflect protein modification. Further studies are warranted to establish the role of post-translation modifications in these proteins during drug resistance.

Antigen 84 (Wag31 / Rv2145c) has been demonstrated to be involved in the regulation of cell morphology and pknG mediates the survival of Mtb inside the host macrophages, and genome-wide transcriptional analysis reveals that the *pknG* is

upregulated during the exposure of INH<sup>26</sup>. Further, *pknG* is associated with the intrinsic resistance of *Mtb* to various anti-TB drugs<sup>26</sup>. Wag31 protein has been found to be overexpressed and involved in regulation of cell morphology. It also plays important role in survival of mycobacteria under oxidative stress<sup>27</sup> and provides optimal substrate for pknA and pknB. In addition, mRNA expression of wag31 was increased by 15.7 folds during the INH and RIF exposure. Earlier also, wag31 has been reported to be over expressed in the MDR isolates<sup>8</sup>, supporting our hypothesis that wag31 plays an important role in drug resistance.

Of the five hypothetical proteins (Rv2004c, Rv1437, Rv3075c, Rv2204c and Rv1827) overexpressed in MDR isolates, three (Rv2004c, Rv2204c and Rv1437) could not be assigned to any function in survival or pathogenesis of the bacteria, though Rv3075c has been reported to be overexpressed in streptomycin resistant isolates<sup>11</sup>. In our study, Rv1827 (GarA) was found 7.6 folds upregulated in drug resistant isolates as compared to that in the susceptible isolate A. It has been identified as an optimal substrate for PknB and PknG. The protein is also reported to act as a phosphorylation-dependent molecular switch in mycobacterial signalling process mediated by protein kinases<sup>28-30</sup>. Further, GarA has been found to be predominantly expressed under the exponential growth phase and has been suggested as a regulatory model for glycogen degradation and glutamate metabolism<sup>28-30</sup>. To infer whether the protein overexpression of Rv1827 in drug resistant isolates facilitated increased glycogen accumulation, we quantified the glycogen content of drug resistant and sensitive isolates. The findings were consistent with this hypothesis and the glycogen content was relatively

	in ition g to stitute	mics List)															Contd
	Protei classifica accordin Pasteur In	of Genoi (Tubercu		7	L	1	5	\$	S		7	7	0	0	7	7	
	ttio of between istant	D		1:0.93	1:1.75	1:1.02	1:1.16	1:1.04	1:1.53		1:1.71	1:1.58	1:1.58	1:1.27	1:0.97	1:1.0	
	ometric ra xpression ive vs. res isolates	C		1:1.13	1:0.70	1:1.12	1:1.37	1:1.0	1:1.01		1:4.36	1:4.70	1:4.70	1:4.24	1:3.07	1:2.98	
	Densit protein ex sensiti	в		1:2.26	1:2.21	1:2.19	1:7.66	1:9.11	1:3.03		1:1.0	1:1.22	1:1.22	1:1.09	1:1.38	1:1.7	
-TB isolates	Sequence coverage (%)			27	28	23	45	51	38		21	21	29	49	69	43	
on in MDR	No. of peptides matched			6	L	S	Ś	9	Ś		18	17	16	19	24	16	
rexpressi	pI			4.91	4.77	6.13	4.29	4.4	5.2		4.95	4.95	4.85	4.85	5.28	4.64	
oroteins ove	Nominal mass (Da)			51787	40266	31069	17240	12707	14743		102728	102728	56692	56659	43556	37740	
s specific p	Mascot score			98	06	64	87	103	67		132	126	128	231	248	205	
ble III. Isolate	Accession No.			NP_217527	NP_215399	YP_178017	NP_216343	NP_216720	NP_215057		NP_215991	NP_215991	NP_214954	NP_214954	NP_215199	NP_217974	
Ξ	Open reading frame (ORF) No.		ate (Isolate B)	Rv3011c	Rv0884c	Rv3803c	Rv1827	Rv2204c	Rv0543c	ate (Isolate C)	Rv1475c	Rv1475c	Rv0440	Rv0440	Rv0685	Rv3457c	
	Proteins identified		rexpressed in MDR isol	Glutamyl tRNA (Gln amidotransferase	Putative phosphoserine aminotransferase	Antigen precursor (MPT51)	Hypothetical protein (GarA)	Hypothetical protein	Hypothetical protein	rexpressed in MDR isol	Aconitase hydratase	Aconitase hydratase	60kDa Chaperonin 2 (cpn60-2, groEL2)	60 kDa Chaperonin 2 (groEL2)	Elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu)	DNA directed RNA	(rpoA)
	Spot No.		eins ove	6	12	21	23	25	26	eins ove		7	9	٢	10	11	
	S. No.		Prot		7	ŝ	4	5	9	Prot		7	б	4	S	9	

40

Protein classification according to Pasteur Institute	of Genomics (TubercuList)	6	7	7	L		1	1	7		5	٢	0		0	0	5	С	٢
ttio of between istant	D	1:1.0	1:1.0	1:1.28	1:1.89		1:2.08	1:2.08	1:3.45		1:2.65	1:7.0	1:9.28		1:4.76	1:66.4	1:49.82	1:3.0	1:38.58
ometric ra xpression ive vs. res isolates	C	1:2.08	1:2.28	1:2.82	1:6.42		1:1.0	1:1.0	1:1.0		1:8.12	1:20.61	1:10.86		1:7.88	1:195.6	1:132.28	1:7.0	1:37.5
Densit protein er sensiti	в	1:1.0	1:1.19	1:1.91	1:1.15		1:1.0	1:1.01	1:1.0		1:1.73	1:1.0	1:1.0		1:6.88	1:156.2	1:54.21	1:12.0	1:9.27
Sequence coverage (%)	'	37	30	41	42		33	52	67		28	17	66		22	38	29	43	82
No. of peptides matched		12	9	10	L		24	17	10		6	9	10		11	22	10	8	12
pI		5.96	6.33	5.14	5.37		5.42	6.04	5.71		4.73	5.19	4.62		4.85	4.85	5.89	4.8	4.5
Nominal mass (Da)		40738	43685	35519.79	34140		76170	46916	20815		33194	36105	10667		66790	66790	54959	28260	18443
Mascot score		103	82	88	80		171	207	108		98	71	162	D)	66	165	53	120	90
Accession No.		YP_177816	NP_217486	NP_217230	NP_215970		NP_215375	NP_214756	NP_217398	tes C and D)	NP_217591	NP_215952	NP_217935	olates B, C and	NP_214864	NP_214864	NP_216520	NP_216661	NP_216392
Open reading frame (ORF) No.		Rv1479	Rv2970c	Rv1437	Rv1454c	late (Isolate D)	Rv0860	Rv0242c	Rv2882c	R isolates (Isolat	Rv3075c	Rv1436	Rv3418c	1DR isolates (Is	Rv0350	Rv0350	Rv2004c	Rv2145c	Rv1876
Proteins identified		Probable moxR protein	Probable lipase	Hypothetical protein	Probable quinone oxidoreductase (qor)	prexpressed in MDR isol	Probable fadB protein	Probable fabG4 protein	Ribosome recycling factor (RRF)	srexpressed only in MDF	Hypothetical protein	Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate	10 kDa chaperonin (cpn 10, groES)	erexpressed only in all N	Chaperonin protein dnaK (HSP70)	Chaperonin protein dnaK (HSP70)	Hypothetical protein	Antigen 84 (wag31)	bfrA (Bacterioferritin)
Spot No.		14	15	16	20	eins ove	б	13	22	eins ove	17	18	27	eins ove	4	2	8	19	24
S. No.		2	8	6	10	Prot	-	7	ς	Prot	1	7	ŝ	Prot		7	б	4	5

## SINGH et al: PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF MDR-TB ISOLATES

Г

41



Fig. 3. Magnified region of overexpressed proteins present in MDR isolates. Zoomed in regions of 2D-gel images showing the overexpressed proteins in drug resistant isolates: (1) rpoA (2) GarA (3) wag31 (4) Rv1475c (5) groEL2.

more in resistant isolates (B, C and D) than the sensitive isolate A. However, the difference in accumulation was significant up to 7<sup>th</sup> day, but not after 15<sup>th</sup> day of growth, suggesting that the expression of Rv1827 might be an important marker of dormancy. While the precise role of glycogen storage in mycobacteria is not known, glycogen stores may serve as a reservoir of carbon and energy that can be mobilized by mycobacteria for survival during periods of carbon starvation. Such observations have been made in other bacteria such as *Vibrio cholerae* during transition stage between host and aquatic environments<sup>31</sup>. In our study, the identified proteins cannot be categorically classified as drug resistance-specific alterations, as this can also happen due to host immune response or stresses encountered by the individual strain *in vivo*. Most of these proteins are essential for the survival of mycobacteria in phagosome or as virulence factor (unpublished observation), but their role in drug resistance cannot be ruled out completely.

The 2D gel electrophoresis followed by MS-based proteomic analysis on sequential isolates showed approximately 500 proteins per gel. This resolution is



Rv-Mtb H37Rv; INH-isoniazid; RIF-rifampicin; EMB-ethambutol; IR-isoniazid+rifampicin

**Fig. 4.** Detection of mRNA expression in drug treated *Mtb* isolates. Isolates treated with isoniazid (INH,  $0.1\mu g/ml$ ), rifampicin (RIF,  $1.0\mu g/ml$ ), ethambutol (EMB,  $5\mu g/ml$ ) and INH ( $0.1\mu g/ml$ ) +RIF ( $1.0\mu g/ml$ ). The expression is represented in fold increase. The *16sRNA* was used as internal control for mRNA expression analysis. mRNA expression of (**A**) *ahpC*, (**B**) *Rv1827*, (**C**) *pknA*, (**D**) *pknB*, (**E**) *pknG* and (**F**) *wag31*. Calculation and statistical analysis carried out by using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C}_{T}$  method and results presented in fold increase.

much less than expected, as there are 4000 predicted genes in *Mtb*. The poor sensitivity observed in the present study could be attributed to various reasons such as the extraction protocol; low resolution power of the coomassie brilliant blue stain used or due to the IEF-strips (pH 4-7). These strips resolve only the proteins having isoelectric point in the range of 4-7.

In conclusion, our study highlights the intricacies associated with sequential clinical isolates of *Mtb*, a rare opportunity for any laboratory, which is a natural phenomenon and cannot be generated artificially in the laboratory. The sequential isolation of four isolates from the same patient during the treatment period showed a phenomenon where a sensitive isolate turned



**Fig. 5.** Glycogen content of sensitive and MDR isolates of *Mtb*. Glycogen was extracted after 7 and 15 days and glycogen storage was determined by the estimation of glucose. The bars indicate glucose concentration of *Mtb* cells after 7 and 15 days, respectively. The inset shows the glycogen content in terms of fold increase after normalization in comparison to sensitive *vs*. MDR TB clinical isolates. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

to a multidrug resistant isolate. It is possible that some of the upregulated proteins identified from MDR clinical isolates of *Mtb* in the present study may prove as potential biomarkers of drug resistance in future.

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by grant from Department of Biotechnology, Government of India to the last author (SS) (BT/ PR7880/ MED/ 14/1161/2006). The first author (AS) received financial assistance in the form of senior research fellowship from the Indian Council of Medical Research, Government of India. The authors thank Shri Sandeep Kadian for his help in spoligotyping data analysis and Dr V.M. Katoch, Director-General of Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, for allowing us to use his laboratory facilities for MALDI-TOF/TOF MS at JALMA, Agra.

*Patent Applied*: Sarman Singh, Gopinath K., Amit Singh and Niti Singh. Novel protein markers of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (1752/DEL/2008).

#### References

 World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2014. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/1370 94/1/9789241564809\_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed on November 3, 2014.

- Rattan A, Kalia A, Ahmad N. Multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis: molecular perspectives. Emerg Infect Dis 1998; 4: 195-209.
- 3. Ramaswamy SV, Reich R, Dou SJ, Jasperse L, Pan X, Wanger A, *et al.* Single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes associated with isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; *47* : 1241-50.
- Gryadunov D, Mikhailovich V, Lapa S, Roudinskii N, Donnikov M, Panikov S, *et al.* Evaluation of hybridization on oligonucleotide microarrays for analysis of drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; *11*: 531-9.
- Louw GE, Warren RM, Gey van Pittius NC, McEvoy CR, Van Helden PD, Victor TC. A balancing act: efflux/influx in mycobacterial drug resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53: 3181-9.
- Wilson M, DeRisi J, Kristensen HH, Imboden P, Rane S, Brown PO, et al. Exploring drug-induced alterations in gene expression in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by microarray hybridization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999; 96: 12833-8.
- 7. Wang R, Marcotte EM. The proteomic response of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* to anti-tuberculosis drugs suggests targeted pathways. *J Proteome Res* 2008; 7: 855-65.
- Jiang X, Zhang W, Gao F, Huang Y, Lu C, Wang H. Comparison of the proteome of isoniazid-resistant and -susceptible strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Microb Drug Resist* 2006; *12*: 231-8.
- Sharma P, Kumar B, Singhal N, Katoch VM, Venkatesan K, Chauhan DS, *et al.* Streptomycin induced protein expression analysis in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis & mass spectrometry. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; *132*: 400-8.
- Jungblut PR, Schaible UE, Mollenkopf HJ, Zimny-Arndt U, Raupach B, Mattow J, *et al.* Comparative proteome analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG strains: towards functional genomics of microbial pathogens. *Mol Microbiol* 1999; *33*: 1103-17.
- 11. Sharma P, Kumar B, Gupta Y, Singhal N, Katoch VM, Venkatesan K, *et al.* Proteomic analysis of streptomycin resistant and sensitive clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proteome Sci* 2010; *8* : 59.
- Gopinath K, Singh S. Multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection and differentiation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium avium* complexes and other Mycobacterial species directly from clinical specimens. J Appl Microbiol 2009; 107: 425-35.
- Singh A, Gopinath K, Singh N, Singh S. Deciphering the sequential events during *in vivo* acquisition of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Int J Mycobacteriol 2014; 3: 36-40.
- Patricia TK, Cubica GP. Public health mycobacteriology: a guide for the level III laboratory. Atlanta, Ga: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control; 1995.
- Demay C, Liens B, Burguière T, Hill V, Couvin D, Millet J, et al. SITVITWEB - a publicly available international multimarker database for studying *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genetic diversity and molecular epidemiology. *Infect Genet Evol* 2012; 12: 755-66.

- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principles of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 1976; 72: 248-54.
- Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havlis J, Olsen JV, Mann M. In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. *Nat Protoc* 2006; 1: 2856-60.
- 18. Schulze U, Larsen ME, Villadsen J. Determination of intracellular trehalose and glycogen in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Anal Biochem* 1995; *228* : 143-9.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>-∆∆C</sup>T method. *Methods* 2001; 25 : 402-8.
- Reddy PV, Puri RV, Khera A, Tyagi AK. Iron storage proteins are essential for the survival and pathogenesis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in THP-1 macrophages and the guinea pig model of infection. *J Bacteriol* 2012; *194* : 567-75.
- Mattow J, Siejak F, Hagens K, Becher D, Albrecht D, Krah A, et al. Proteins unique to intraphagosomally grown Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proteomics 2006; 6: 2485-94.
- Homolka S, Niemann S, Russell DG, Rohde KH. Functional genetic diversity among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex clinical isolates: delineation of conserved core and lineagespecific transcriptomes during intracellular survival. *PLoS Pathog* 2010; 6 : e1000988.
- Pheiffer C, Betts JC, Flynn HR, Lukey PT, van Helden P. Protein expression by a Beijing strain differs from that of another clinical isolate and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv. *Microbiology* 2005; *151* : 1139-50.

- Comas I, Borrell S, Roetzer A, Rose G, Malla B, Kato-Maeda M, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of rifampicin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains identifies compensatory mutations in RNA polymerase genes. Nat Genet 2011; 44 : 106-10.
- Dussurget O, Rodriguez M, Smith I. Protective role of the Mycobacterium smegmatis IdeR against reactive oxygen species and isoniazid toxicity. Tuber Lung Dis 1998; 79: 99-106.
- 26. Wolff KA, Nguyen HT, Cartabuke RH, Singh A, Ogwang S, Nguyen L, *et al.* Protein kinase G is required for intrinsic antibiotic resistance in mycobacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53 : 3515-9.
- Mukherjee P, Sureka K, Datta P, Hossain T, Barik S, Das KP, et al. Novel role of Wag31 in protection of mycobacteria under oxidative stress. *Mol Microbiol* 2009; 73: 103-19.
- O'Hare HM, Durán R, Cerveñansky C, Bellinzoni M, Wehenkel AM, Pritsch O, *et al.* Regulation of glutamate metabolism by protein kinases in mycobacteria. *Mol Microbiol* 2008; 70 : 1408-23.
- Kang CM, Abbott DW, Park ST, Dascher CC, Cantley LC, Husson RN. The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* serine/threonine kinases PknA and PknB: substrate identification and regulation of cell shape. *Genes Dev* 2005; *19* : 1692-704.
- England P, Wehenkel A, Martins S, Hoos S, André-Leroux G, Villarino A, *et al.* The FHA-containing protein GarA acts as a phosphorylation-dependent molecular switch in mycobacterial signaling. *FEBS Lett* 2009; *583* : 301-7.
- Bourassa L, Camilli A. Glycogen contributes to the environmental persistence and transmission of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Mol Microbiol* 2009; 72 : 124-38.

Reprint requests: Dr Sarman Singh, Division of Clinical Microbiology & Molecular Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110 029, India e-mail: sarman\_singh@yahoo.com, sarman.singh@gmail.com