

European Journal of Medicinal Plants

28(1): 1-6, 2019; Article no.EJMP.48966 ISSN: 2231-0894, NLM ID: 101583475

Determination of Minerals, Total Phenolic Content, Flavonoids, Antioxidants and Antimicrobial Activities of Ethanolic Extract of Sweet Lupinus angustifolius of Palestine

Michel Hanania^{1*}, Sireen Radwan¹, Suhair Abu Odeh¹ and Abeer Qumber¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Bethlehem University, P.O.Box 9, Bethlehem, Palestine.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author MH designed the study, supervised the work and edited the final version of the manuscript. Author SR managed the experimental analysis of the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SAO and AQ performed parts of the experiments. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/EJMP/2019/v28i130126

Editor(s)

(1) Dr. Sonali Ramakant More, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, B. J. Govt. Medical College, Pune.
(2) Dr. Marcello Iriti, Professor, Plant Biology and Pathology, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Milan State University, Italy.

Reviewers:

Mariana Andrade, National Health Institute Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Portugal.
 Phillip Minnaar, Agricultural Research Council, South Africa.
 Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48966

Original Research Article

Received 19 February 2019 Accepted 04 May 2019 Published 14 May 2019

ABSTRACT

Aims: To establish the most suitable extraction method for sweet lupine seeds and to determine minerals, phenolic content, flavonoids, antioxidant activity and antimicrobial activities.

Study Design: Known and standard experimental procedures are employed.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Chemistry, Bethlehem University- Palestine, from January 2019 to March 2019.

Methodology: Seeds were ground and extracted by Soxhlet extractor using ethanol with different percentages (50%, 60%, 70%, 80% and 95%). Sodium, potassium and ferrous ion content were determined. Resistance to bacteria was performed against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, while antioxidant activity was determined by FRAP method. Two types of flavonoids were measured: Flavonones and dihydroflavonols via the reaction with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine. Phenolics were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method.

Results: 50% ethanol resulted in the highest extract residue (18.6%) while 70% and 60% showed the lowest content (10.0% for both). 80% ethanol extracted sample showed the highest content for sodium (56.51 mg Na/g extract), while 60% and 50% ethanol extracts showed the highest content of potassium (2.25 and 2.33 mg K/g extract, respectively). The maximum concentration of ferrous ion was obtained with 70% ethanol (6.854 mg Fe⁺²/g extract). 95% ethanolic extract showed the highest antioxidant activity (20.24 mg FeSO₄/g extract). Similar results were obtained for total phenolic content and flavonoids: 24.60 mg gallic acid/g extract for phenolics and 116.02 mg rutin/g extract for flavonoids. Extracts showed no bacterial activity against both types of bacteria used. **Conclusion:** 95% ethanol extracted samples showed the highest antioxidant activity and the highest flavonoids and phenolic content. Sweet lupine extract did not perform any antimicrobial activity against both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria.

Keywords: Sweet lupine; Soxhlet extractor; minerals; total phenolics; flavonoids; antimicrobial activity; antioxidant activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet Lupinus angustifolius, also called "narrowleafed lupine" is a member of the legume family (subfamily Papilionoideae) containing hoth herbaceous annual and shrubby perennial types with attractive long racemes of flowers [1]. There are twelve lupine species within the Lupinus genus, all of which are native to Europe and the Mediterranean regions. Sweet lupine is widely cultivated in Australia, the color of its flower varies from blue, to pink and white in demonstrated forms [2,3]. Lupinus angustifolius is one of the four lupines that are widely known and fully domesticated for agriculture purposes (Lupinus albus, Lupinus angustifolius, Lupinus luteu and Lupinus mutablis).

For several years, lupine flour has been used in pasta, milk, soya substituents and diet products. Lupine seeds are also eaten as snacks in most regions of the world [4]. Lupine seeds can contain toxicologically relevant bitter quinolizidine alkaloids, which cause symptoms of poisoning of humans affecting the nervous, circulatory and digestive systems [5]. Typical symptoms of alkaloid poisoning are dizziness, confusion, tachycardia, nausea and dry mouth, loss of motor coordination and in high doses, cardiac arrest and respiratory paralysis [5]. The levels of quinolizidine alkaloids in lupine seeds depending on the botanical and vary geographical origin of the lupine variety from which they derive. In contrast to bitter lupine, sweet lupine has low level of toxic alkaloid and suitable for human consumption even without debittering [6].

Lupine seeds, like other legumes are sources of vitamin, protein and fibers. Studies reported the

pharmacological benefits of lupine alkaloids, with activity on circulatory system, metabolism against obesity and improving bowel health [7].

Due to the low concentration of biologically active materials in plants, it is necessary to use effective methods for extraction of these substances, specially using solvents that are environmentally friendly. Consequently, ethanol was the solvent of choice with different percentages to extract phenolics and flavonoids, which are responsible for the pharmacological properties such antioxidants as antimicrobials. Therefore, a comprehensive determination of lupine properties is essential, not only because of its potential toxicity to humans, but also for its pharmacological properties.

2. MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1 Raw Materials and Equipment

Sweet lupine seeds were obtained from the local reagents/chemicals market, while purchased from Sigma-aldrich. Deionized water was used in all preparations, and commercial ethanol was used for extraction. An Analytik Jena Specord 40 UV-VIS spectrophotometer was used for the determination of the antioxidant activity. phenolic content and flavonoids. A model FP 640 photometer was used for measurements of sodium and potassium content. Bacteria strains were provided from Holy Family Hospital in Bethlehem-Palestine.

2.2 Extraction of Seeds

Lupine seeds were ground and extracted by Soxhlet extractor using different percentages of ethanol (50%, 60%, 70%, 80% and 95%) for three hours. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was stored in refrigerator away from direct light.

2.3 Stock Solution

Residue was dissolved in 50% ethanol (200 mg/100 mL) and this served as stock solution for the determination of sodium, potassium, ferrous ion, antioxidant activity, total phenolic content and flavonoids.

2.4 Determination of Sodium and Potassium

Sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometry against reference standards for both elements. From the calibration curves, the concentration of the extracted samples was determined.

2.5 Determination of Ferrous Ion (Fe⁺²)

Fe⁺² in sample extract was determined by a titrimetric method: redox titration of Fe⁺² with potassium dichromate using sodium diphenylamine sulfonate, a pH independent redox indicator. Endpoint was detected as the color turned to violet.

2.6 Determination of Antimicrobial Activity

Antibacterial activity was studied on sweet lupine against *S. aureus* (Gram positive) and *E. coli* (Gram negative) bacteria. An "Agar Well" method was used to test the resistance of extract to bacteria [8]. In this method, three wells were created in the Agar plates of the Muller-Hinton broth [9]: the first of which was for negative control (H₂O), the second was for positive control (Amoxicillin), and the third one was for sample (the extract). High concentrations of extracts (1.2 g/100 mL) were used for the determination of antibacterial activity. Petri dishes were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours.

2.7 Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity was determined by the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) [10] method that relies on reduction by antioxidants of the complex ferric ion-TPTZ (2,4,6-tri (2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine). The binding of Fe⁺² to the ligand

makes a complex that gives the blue color intensity. The absorbance was measured to test the concentration of iron reduced, which is correlated with the concentration of antioxidant.

2.7.1 Analysis

For sample extract: 800 μ L of sample (Stock solution) was mixed with 1000 μ L FRAP, and for standard: 80 μ L of standard FeSO₄ (0.1–2.0 mM) was mixed with 1000 μ L H₂O and 1000 μ L FRAP. Solutions were incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes and the absorbance of the colored product was measured at λ =593 nm against 50% ethanol as blank.

2.8 Total Phenolics Content

The total concentration of phenolic compounds was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu method [11,12].

2.8.1 Analysis

For sample extract, 1.20 mL of 7.5% Na_2CO_3 was mixed with 100 μ L sample and 1.8 mL diluted Folin- Ciocalteu reagent (1:1). Standard preparation was done as the follows, 1.20 mL Na_2CO_3 was mixed with 40 μ L standard Gallic acid (90-900 ppm) and diluted Folin- Ciocalteu reagent (1:1). The mixtures were incubated for one hour at 30°C where the sample was turned to greenish-blue, and absorbance was measured at λ =765 nm.

2.9 Flavonoids

The colorimetric identification and quantification of the two types of flavonoids (flavonones and dihydroflavonols) was based on their reaction with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNP) in the presence of KOH in methanol [13,14].

2.9.1 Analysis

For sample extract and standard (rutin, 5-100 ppm), $200~\mu L$ of stock solution was mixed with $400~\mu L$ 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine and placed in a water bath at $50^{\circ}C$ for 60 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, $800~\mu L$ of a 10% KOH/methanol solution was added to the mixture, where after $350~\mu L$ of the total mixture was diluted to 5.0~m L with 100% methanol. Absorbance was measured at λ =486 nm using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Extraction

Lupine seeds were extracted with different percentages of ethanol. Results are summarized in Table 1. As shown, the highest percentage of extract was obtained when 50% ethanol was used (18.6%). On the other hand, the lowest percentage was obtained when 60% and 70% ethanol were used (10.0% for both).

Table 1. Percentages of residue obtained from sweet lupine seeds

Solvent	Result	
95% EtOH	12.2%	
80% EtOH	10.9%	
70% EtOH	10.0%	
60% EtOH	10.0%	
50% EtOH	18.6%	

3.2 Determination of Sodium and Potassium

Results of sodium and potassium are illustrated in Table 2. The highest concentration of sodium was obtained when 80% of ethanol was used while the lowest concentration was obtained with 50% ethanol. This can be attributed to the fact that sodium is present in sweet lupine as organic salts that tends to dissolve in ethanol more than in water. In a previous study on Lupinus albus seeds [15], the highest concentration of sodium was obtained with 50% ethanol suggesting that sodium is present as inorganic complexes in the seeds. The highest concentration of potassium in sweet lupine was obtained when 50% and 60% ethanol were used. This result is in agreement with results reported by Hanania et al. (2018) where bitter lupine seeds were extracted with 60% ethanol, which resulted in highest potassium concentrations [15].

3.3 Determination of Ferrous lons

Table 2 also shows that as the percentage of ethanol decreases, the ferrous content increases until the 70% ethanol extraction, where the maximum content of ferrous was extracted. However, below 70% ethanol, the ferrous content decreases.

3.4 Antimicrobial Activity

Sweet lupine extract showed no inhibition against neither *E. coli* nor *S. aureus* bacteria. The

negative results reported here are in agreement with previous studies in terms of *E. coli*, but it does not agree with the results of the study on *S. aureus*, where significant activity was observed [16,17]. The extract of *Lupinus angustifolius* was weakly active on *E. coli*.

Table 2. Sodium, potassium and ferrous content of extracts (mg/g)

Ethanol %	Sodium	Potassium	Ferrous
95%	10.29	0.15	3.726
80%	56.51	1.00	4.340
70%	17.59	0.6	6.854
60%	10.51	2.25	2.424
50%	9.20	2.33	1.839

3.5 Determination of Antioxidant Activity and Total Phenolics Content

As illustrated in Table 3, the highest activity of antioxidants was obtained when 95% ethanol was used. Similar results were obtained for phenolics which is an important antioxidant as phytochemical in sweet lupine seeds. This result was expected since antioxidants such as phenolics are organic compounds that tend to dissolve in ethanol rather than water [18]. Our results showed higher content of phenolics and similar antioxidant activity to those reported in literature [19,20].

Ethanol was used in accordance with the literature data, to ensure optimum extraction of phenols, because the extraction efficiency of plant material using ethanolic-water is greater and environmentally friendly than methanolic-water extraction [21,22]. Compared to bitter lupine, it was found that bitter seeds have a higher antioxidant activity since it contains a higher content of phenols [15].

3.6 Determination of Flavonoids Content

Flavonones and dihydroflavones are the two types of flavonoids that were determined in sweet lupine. As illustrated in Table 4, 95% ethanolic extract resulted in the highest concentrations of flavonoids i.e. 115.02 mg rutin/g extract. It is worth mentioning that the concentration of these bioactive chemicals depends on many factors including climate, precipitation and soil conditions [23].

Table 3. Antioxidant activity and total Phenolics for sweet lupine extracts

Ethanol %	mg FeSO₄/g extract	mg Gallic acid/g extract
95%	20.24	24.60
80%	19.22	20.98
70%	12.03	18.35
60%	9.15	11.92
50%	7.23	12.28

Table 4. Rutin (flavonoids) concentrations obtained from for different percentage ethanol extraction

Ethanol %	mg Rutin/g extract
95%	115.02
80%	11.77
70%	35.19
60%	22.56
50%	39.83

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results, antioxidants present in sweet lupine are organic compounds and are more likely to dissolve in ethanol than in water. Sodium ion was shown to be present in high percentages, especially in 80% ethanolic extract. Potassium, on the other hand, showed high concentration when extracted with 60% ethanol. It was found that sweet lupine has higher ferrous ion concentration than bitter lupine. Moreover, phenolics and flavonoids have many biological properties in plant especially as antioxidants. while antibacterial agents are absent from sweet lupine seeds. Although 50% ethanol was the highest percentage of extracted content (residue), yet it may have inorganic compounds or compounds with no biological effect to bacteria or oxidation reactions.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially sponsored financially by The Palestinian Ministry of Education and Higher Education Fund through Deanship of Research at Bethlehem University. The authors are grateful to the Holy Family Hospital, Bethlehem for providing the bacterial strains.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Drummond CS. Multiple continental radiations and correlates of diversification in Lupinus (Leguminosea). Testing for key innovation with incomplete taxon sampling. Systematic Biology. 2012;61(3):443-60.
- Planchuelo AM. Biodiversity of lupins in South America. Proceedings of the 8th International Lupin Conference, Asilomar, California. 1999;320.
- Sujak A, Koltarz A, Strobel W. Compositional and nutritional evaluation of several lupin seeds. Food Chemistry. 2006;98(4):711-719.
- Lema M, Santalla M, rodiñne AP, De Ron AM. Field performance of natural narrowleafed lupin from Northwestern Spain. Euphytica. 2005;144(3):341-351. Spanish
- 5. Kurzbaum A, Safori G, Monir M, Simsolo C. Anticholinergic syndrome in response to lupin seed toxicity. Israeli Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2008;8(2):20-22.
- 6. Yáñez E, Gattás V, Ballester D. Valor nutritivo de lupino y su potencial como alimento humano. Archivos latinoamericanos de nutrición. 1979;29: 510-520. Spanish
- Pilvi TK. Lupin protein attenuates the development of hypertension and normalises the vascular function of NaClloaded Goto-Kakizaki rats. Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology. 2006;57(2): 167-76.
- 8. Ericsson HM, Sherris JC. Antibiotic sensitivity testing. Report of an international collaborative study. Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica, et Immunologica Scandinavica. Section B. Microbiology. 1971;217(Suppl. B):1-90.
- 9. Mueller JH, Hinton J. A protein-free medium for primary isolation of the Gonococcus and Meningococcus.

- Experimental Biology and Medicine. 1941:48:330-333.
- NurAlam M, Bristi NJ, Rafiquzzaman M. Review on in vivo and in vitro methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal. 2013;21:143-152.
- Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Methods in Enzymology. 1999;299:152-178.
- Marcussi F, Campos MC, De Grandis RA, Sylos CM. Analytical methods applied for the determination of phenolic compounds in lettuce and their antioxidant activity. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;3(8):116-121.
- Heim KE, Tagliaferro AR, Bobilya DJ. Flavonoid antioxidants: Chemistry, metabolism and structure-activity relationship. The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry. 2002;13:572-84.
- Chang CC, Yang MH, Wen HM, Chern JC. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis. 2002;10:178-182.
- Hanania M, Radwan S, Karmi E. Extraction method and evaluation of phenolics, flavonoids, antioxidant activity, antimicrobial activity and minerals of bitter *Lupinus albus* in Palestine. Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature. 2018;8(2):137-143.
- Erdemoglu N, Ozkan S, Tosun F. Alkaloid profile and antimicrobial activity of *Lupinus*. Phytochemistry Reviews. 2007;6(1):197-201.

- Romeo FV, Fabroni S, Ballistreri G, Muccilli S, Spina A, Rapisarda P. Characterization and antimicrobial activity of alkaloid extracts from seeds of different genotypes of *Lupinus* spp. Sustainability. 2018;10:788-799.
- 18. Borkowski B. Fenolokwasy i ich estry. Cz. I. Herba Polonica, 1993;39:71-83. Polish
- Karamaća M, Orakb HH, Amarowicza R, Orakc A, Piekoszewski W. Phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of wild and cultivated white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) seeds. Food Chemistry. 2018;258:1-7.
- Siger A, Czubinski J, Kachlicki P, Dwiecki K, Lampart-Szczapa E, Nogala-Kalucka M. Antioxidant activity and phenolic content in three lupin species. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2012;25:190-197.
- Głowniak K, Zagorska G, Kozyra M. Solidphase extraction and reversed-phase highperformance liquid chromatography of free phenolic acids in some Echinacea species. Journal of Chromatography. A. 1996;730: 25–29.
- 22. Amarowicz R, Piskula M, Honke J, Rudnicka B, Troszynska A, Kozłowska H. Extraction of phenolic compounds from lentil seeds [*Lens culinaris*] with various solvents. Polish Journal of Food Nutrition Sciences. 1995;4(45):53–62.
- Natera JFZ, Hernández IZ, Hérnandez AV, Macías RR, Pérez ES, López PMG. Performance of blue lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) cultivars on acid soils of Jalisco, Mexico. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;5(10):300-305.

© 2019 Hanania et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48966